Query Optimization Exercise Session 3

Andrey Gubichev

May 5, 2014

```
select *
from lineitem l, orders o, customers c
where l.l_orderkey=o.o_orderkey
and o.o_custkey=c.c_custkey
and c.c_name='Customer#000014993'.
```

We know |R1|, |R2|, domains of R1.x, R2.y,

▶ if *x* is the key:

• if x is the key: $\frac{1}{|R1|}$

- if x is the key: $\frac{1}{|R1|}$
- if x is not the key:

- if x is the key: $\frac{1}{|R1|}$
- if x is not the key: $\frac{1}{|R1.x|}$

We know |R1|, |R2|, |R1.x|, |R2.y|, and whether x and y are keys or not. First, the size of $R1 \times R2$ is

First, the size of $R1 \times R2$ is |R1||R2|

The selectivity of $\bowtie_{R1.x=R2.y}$ can be estimated as...

if both x and y are the keys:

First, the size of $R1 \times R2$ is |R1||R2|

The selectivity of $\bowtie_{R1.x=R2.y}$ can be estimated as...

• if both x and y are the keys: $\frac{1}{\max(|R1|, |R2|)}$

First, the size of $R1 \times R2$ is |R1||R2|

- if both x and y are the keys: $\frac{1}{\max(|R1|,|R2|)}$
- ▶ if only *x* is the key:

First, the size of $R1 \times R2$ is |R1||R2|

- if both x and y are the keys: $\frac{1}{\max(|R1|,|R2|)}$
- if only x is the key: $\frac{1}{|R1|}$

First, the size of $R1 \times R2$ is |R1||R2|

- if both x and y are the keys: $\frac{1}{\max(|R1|,|R2|)}$
- if only x is the key: $\frac{1}{|R1|}$
- if both x and y are not the keys:

First, the size of $R1 \times R2$ is |R1||R2|

- if both x and y are the keys: $\frac{1}{\max(|R1|,|R2|)}$
- if only x is the key: $\frac{1}{|R1|}$
- if both x and y are not the keys: $\frac{1}{max(|R1.x|,|R2.y|)}$

The selectivity of $\sigma_{R1.x>c}$ is

The selectivity of
$$\sigma_{R1.x>c}$$
 is $\frac{max(R1.x)-c}{max(R1.x)-min(R1.x)}$

The selectivity of
$$\sigma_{R1.x>c}$$
 is $\frac{max(R1.x)-c}{max(R1.x)-min(R1.x)}$

The selectivity of $\sigma_{c1 < R1.x < c2}$ is

The selectivity of
$$\sigma_{R1.x>c}$$
 is $\frac{max(R1.x)-c}{max(R1.x)-min(R1.x)}$

The selectivity of $\sigma_{c1 < R1.x < c2}$ is $\frac{c2-c1}{max-min}$

- ▶ |R| = 1,000 pages, |S| = 100,000 pages
- 1 page 50 tuples, 1 block 100 pages
- > avg. access = 10 ms, transfer speed = 10,000 pages/sec
- Time for block-nested loops join = ?

- ▶ |R| = 1,000 pages, |S| = 100,000 pages
- 1 page 50 tuples, 1 block 100 pages
- avg. access = 10 ms, transfer speed = 10,000 pages/sec
- Time for block-nested loops join = ?
- ▶ choose left argument: *R* vs. *S*, $\frac{1,000}{100}$ vs. $\frac{100,000}{100} \Rightarrow R$

• Time to read one block: $T_b = avg.seek + (100 \frac{1}{\text{transfer speed}}) = 0.02s$

► Time to read one block: $T_b = avg.seek + (100\frac{1}{\text{transfer speed}}) = 0.02s$

Read 1 block from R, join it with S:

 T_b + time to read S $\approx 10s$

 Time to read one block: T_b = avg.seek + (100 ¹/_{transfer speed}) = 0.02s

 Read 1 block from *R*, join it with *S*:

 T_b + time to read S $\approx 10s$

Repeat it for every block in R:

$$T_{BNLJ} = rac{\# pages in R}{block size} (10s) \approx 100s$$

```
select v.titel
from Vorlesungen v, Professoren p
where v.gelesenvon = p.persnr
and p.name = 'Kant'
and v.sws = 2;
```

```
select r.a, s.c
from R r, S s, T t, U u
where r.a = s.a
and r.b = t.b
and r.b = u.b;
```

```
select r.a, s.c
from R r, S s
where r.a + s.a = 7;
```

```
select r.a, s.c
from R r, S s, T t, U u
where (r.a + s.b) = (t.b + u.a);
```

Search space is defined by:

Query graph type

Search space is defined by:

- Query graph type (chain, star, tree, clique,cycle, grid)
- Join tree class

Search space is defined by:

- Query graph type (chain, star, tree, clique,cycle, grid)
- Join tree class (left-deep, zig-zag, bushy)
- Cost function class

select *
from R1, R2, R3, R4
where R1.a = R2.b
 and R2.c = R3.d
 and R3.e = R4.f

What kind of query graph is it?

```
select *
from R1, R2, R3, R4
where R1.a = R2.b
    and R2.c = R3.d
    and R3.e = R4.f
```

- What kind of query graph is it?
- ► Let's allow cross-products ⇒ the shape of the query graph does not matter

select *
from R1, R2, R3, R4
where R1.a = R2.b
 and R2.c = R3.d
 and R3.e = R4.f

- What kind of query graph is it?
- ► Let's allow cross-products ⇒ the shape of the query graph does not matter
- Count left-deep trees
- Count zig-zag trees
- Count bushy trees

Roadmap

Good optimizer deals with the following issues:

- Cost Model
 - Cost Function
 Selectivity estimation, statistics
 Done Homework
- Logical Optimization
 - ► Search Space Done
 - Algorithms for Optimal Plan finding
 Rest of the course
- Physical Optimization
 - Enhancing the logical plan with physical operators

DB design

- RTS (Runtime System) TinyDB
 - how the database is organized on disk? (buffer manager, segments, etc)
 - access methods, operators (scans, joins)
- CTS (Compile-time System) Goal of the programming exercises
 - Parser (SQLLexer, SQLParser)
 - Semantic Analysis construct the Query graph, also other transformations
 - Plan generator logical optimization (join ordering algorithms)
 - Code generator generates the plan that can be executed by RTS

Homework: Task 1 (10 points)

Selectivity estimation continues...

- Our estimations (prev.homework) are far from perfect
- Construct specific examples (database schema, concrete instances of relations and selections/joins), where our estimations are very "bad"
- ▶ "Bad" means that for some queries (give examples of SQL queries) the logical plan will be suboptimal (w.r.t C_{out}), if we use these estimations
- In other words, bad estimations mislead the optimizer and it outputs a clearly suboptimal plan
- Two examples (one for selections, one for joins)

Homework: Task 2 (5 points)

- Give an example query instance where the optimal join tree (using C_{out}) is bushy and includes a cross product.
- Note: the query graph should be connected!

Homework: Task 3 (15 points)

 Using the program from the first exercise as a basis, implement a program that

- parses SQL queries
- translates them into tinydb execution plans
- and executes the query.
- Note: a canonical translation of the joins is fine, but push all predicates of the form attr = const down to the base relations
- Don't do semantic analysis
- Logical optimizer: just takes canonical translation and push down selections, no join ordering

Info

- Slides and exercises: www3.in.tum.de/teaching/ss14/queryopt
- Send any comments, questions, solutions for the exercises etc. to Andrey.Gubichev@in.tum.de
- Exercises due: 9 AM, May 12, 2014