Inheritance

Object-Oriented Programming

Object-oriented programming is based on three fundamental concepts

- Data abstraction
 - Implemented by classes in C++
 - Covered previously
- Inheritance
 - Implemented by class derivation in C++
 - Derived Classes inherit the members of its base class(es)
 - Covered in this lecture
- Dynamic Binding (Polymorphism)
 - Implemented by virtual functions in C++
 - Programs need not care about the specific types of objects in an inheritance hierarchy
 - Covered in this lecture

Derived Classes (1)



Any class type may be derived from one or more base classes

- Possible for both class and struct
- · Base classes may in turn be derived from their own base classes
- Classes form an *inheritance hierarchy*

High-level Syntax

```
class class-name : base-specifier-list {
    member-specification
};
```

```
struct class-name : base-specifier-list {
    member-specification
};
```

Derived Classes (2)

The base-specifier-list contains a comma-separated list of one or more base-specifiers with the following syntax

access-specifier virtual-specifier base-class-name

Explanation

- *access-specifier* controls the *inheritance mode* (more details soon)
- *access-specifier* is optional; if present it can be one of the keywords private, protected or public
- *base-class-name* is mandatory, it specifies the name of the class from which to derive
- virtual-specifier is optional; if present it must be the keyword virtual (only used for multiple inheritance)

Derived Classes (3)

Examples

```
class Base {
    int a;
};
class Derived0 : Base {
    int b;
};
class Derived1 : private Base {
    int c;
};
class Derived2 : public virtual Base, private Derived1 {
    int d;
};
```

Constructors and Initialization (1)



Constructors of derived classes account for the inheritance

- 1. The direct non-virtual base classes are initialized in left-to-right order as they appear in the *base-specifier-list*
- 2. The non-static data members are initialized in the order of declaration in the class definition
- 3. The body of the constructor is executed

The initialization order is independent of any order in the member initializer list

Base classes are default-initialized unless specified otherwise

• Another constructor can explicitly be invoked using the delegating constructor syntax

Constructors and Initialization (2)

Consider the class definitions

```
foo.hpp
struct Base {
   int a:
   Base();
    explicit Base(int a);
};
struct Derived : Base {
   int b;
    Derived():
    Derived(int a, int b);
};
```

```
foo.cpp
#include "foo.hpp"
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
Base::Base()
    : a(42) {
    cout << "Base::Base()" << endl:</pre>
}
Base::Base(int a)
    : a(a) {
    cout << "Base::Base(int)" << endl:</pre>
}
Derived::Derived() {
    : b(42) {
    cout << "Derived::Derived()" << endl;</pre>
}
Derived::Derived(int a, int b)
    : Base(a), b(b) {
    cout << "Derived::Derived(int, int)" << endl;</pre>
```

Constructors and Initialization (3)

Using the above class definitions, consider the following program

```
main.cpp
#include "foo.hpp"
int main() {
    Derived derived0;
    Derived derived1(123, 456);
```

Then the output of this program would be

```
$ ./foo
Base::Base()
Derived::Derived()
Base::Base(int)
Derived::Derived(int, int)
```

Destructors (1)



Similarly to constructors, destructors of derived classes account for the inheritance

- 1. The body of the destructor is executed
- 2. The destructors of all non-static members are called in reverse order of declaration
- 3. The destructors of all direct non-virtual base classes are called in reverse order of construction

The order in which the base class destructors are called is deterministic

• It depends on the order of construction, which in turn only depends on the order of base classes in the *base-specifier-list*

Destructors (2)

Consider the class definitions

foo.hpp	foo.cpp	
<pre>struct Base0 {</pre>	<pre>#include "foo.hpp"</pre>	
~Base0();	<pre>#include <iostream></iostream></pre>	
};		
	using namespace std;	
<pre>struct Base1 {</pre>		
~Base1();	Base0::~Base0() {	
};	<pre>cout << "Base0::~Base0()" << endl;</pre>	
	}	
<pre>struct Derived : Base0, Base1 {</pre>		
~Derived();	Base1::~Base1() {	
};	<pre>cout << "Base1::~Base1()" << endl;</pre>	
	}	
	<pre>Derived::~Derived() {</pre>	
	<pre>cout << "Derived::~Derived()" << endl;</pre>	
]] }	

Destructors (3)

Using the above class definitions, consider the program

Then the output of this program would be

```
$ ./foo
Derived::~Derived()
Base1::~Base1()
Base0::~Base0()
```

Unqualified Name Lookup (1)



It is allowed (although discouraged) to use a name multiple times in an inheritance hierarchy

- Affects unqualified name lookups (lookups without the use of the scope resolution operator ::)
- A deterministic algorithm decides which alternative matches an unqualified name lookup
- Rule of thumb: Declarations in the derived classes "hide" declarations in the base classes

Multiple inheritance can lead to additional problems even without reusing a name

- In a diamond-shaped inheritance hierarchy, members of the root class appear twice in the most derived class
- Can be solved with *virtual* inheritance
- Should still be avoided whenever possible

Unqualified Name Lookup (2)

Single inheritance example

```
struct A {
   void a();
};
struct B : A {
    void a();
    void b() {
        a(); // calls B::a()
    }
};
struct C : B {
    void c() {
        a(); // calls B::a()
    }
};
```

Unqualified Name Lookup (3)

Diamond inheritance example

```
struct X {
    void x();
};
struct B1 : X { };
struct B2 : X { };
struct D : B1, B2 {
    void d() {
        x(); // ERROR: x is present in B1 and B2
    }
};
```

Qualified Name Lookup



Qualified name lookup can be used to explicitly resolve ambiguities

• Similar to qualified namespace lookups, a class name can appear to the left of the scope resolution operator ::

```
struct A {
   void a();
};
struct B : A {
   void a();
};
int main() {
    B b;
    b.a(); // calls B::a()
    b.A::a(); // calls A::a()
}
```

Object Representation



The object representation of derived class objects accounts for inheritance

- The base class object is stored as a *subobject* in the derived class object
- Thus, derived classes may still be trivially constructible, copyable, or destructible

foo.cpp	, . <u> </u>	foo.o
struct A {	main:	
int a = 42;	pushq	%rbp
int b = 123;	movq	%rsp, %rbp
];	movl	\$42, -12(%rbp)
	movl	\$123, -8(%rbp)
struct B : A {	movl	\$456, -4(%rbp)
int c = 456;	movl	\$0, %eax
];	popq	%rbp
	ret	
<pre>int main() {</pre>		
B b;		
}		

Polymorphic Inheritance

By default, inheritance in C++ is non-polymorphic

- Member definitions in a derived class can hide definitions in the base class
- For example, it matters if we call a function through a pointer to a base object or a pointer to a derived object

```
#include <iostream>
struct Base {
    void foo() { std::cout << "Base::foo()" << std::endl: }</pre>
};
struct Derived : Base {
    void foo() { std::cout << "Derived::foo()" << std::endl; }</pre>
};
int main() {
    Derived d:
    Base& b = d;
    d.foo(); // prints Derived::foo()
    b.foo(); // prints Base::foo()
```

The virtual Function Specifier (1)



Used to mark a non-static member function as virtual

- Enables dynamic dispatch for this function
- Allows the function to be overriden in derived classes
- A class with at least one virtual function is *polymorphic*

The overridden behavior of the function is preserved even when no compile-time type information is available

- A call to an overridden virtual function through a pointer or reference to a base object will invoke the behavior defined in the derived class
- This behavior is suppressed when qualified name lookup is used for the function call

The virtual Function Specifier (2)

Example

```
#include <iostream>
struct Base {
    virtual void foo() { std::cout << "Base::foo()" << std::endl; }</pre>
};
struct Derived : Base {
    void foo() { std::cout << "Derived::foo()" << std::endl; }</pre>
};
int main() {
    Base b;
    Derived d;
    Base br = b:
    Base& dr = d;
    d.foo(); // prints Derived::foo()
    dr.foo(); // prints Derived::foo()
    d.Base::foo(); // prints Base::foo()
    dr.Base::foo(); // prints Base::foo()
    br.foo();
              // prints Base::foo()
```

Conditions for Overriding Functions (1)



A function overrides a virtual base class function if

- The function name is the same
- The parameter type list (but not the return type) is the same
- The return type must be the same or *covariant*
- The cv-qualifiers of the function are the same
- The ref-qualifiers of the function are the same

If these conditions are met, the function overrides the virtual base class function

- The derived function is also virtual and can be overridden by further-derived classes
- The base class function does not need to be visible

If these conditions are not met, the function hides the virtual base class function

Conditions for Overriding Functions (2)

Example

```
struct Base {
    private:
   virtual void bar():
    public:
   virtual void foo();
};
struct Derived : Base {
   void bar(); // Overrides Base::bar()
   void foo(int baz); // Hides Base::foo()
};
int main() {
    Derived d;
    Base b = d;
    d.foo(); // ERROR: lookup finds only Derived::foo(int)
    b.foo(); // invokes Base::foo();
}
```

The Final Overrider (1)

C

Every virtual function has a final overrider

- The final overrider is executed when a virtual function call is made
- A virtual member function is the final overrider unless a derived class declares a function that overrides it

A derived class can also inherit a function that overrides a virtual base class function through multiple inheritance

- There must only be one final overrider at all times
- Multiple inheritance should be avoided anyway

The Final Overrider (2)

Example

```
struct A {
   virtual void foo();
   virtual void bar();
    virtual void baz();
};
struct B : A {
   void foo();
   void bar();
};
struct C : B {
    void foo();
};
int main() {
    C c;
    A& cr = c;
    cr.foo(); // invokes C::foo()
    cr.bar(); // invokes B::bar()
    cr.baz(); // invokes A::baz()
}
```

The Final Overrider (3)

The final overrider depends on the actual type of an object

```
struct A {
   virtual void foo();
   virtual void bar();
   virtual void baz();
};
struct B : A {
   void foo();
   void bar();
};
struct C : B {
    void foo();
};
int main() {
    Bb;
    A& br = b;
    br.foo(); // invokes B::foo()
    br.bar(); // invokes B::bar()
    br.baz(); // invokes A::baz()
}
```

Covariant Return Types (1)

C

The overriding and base class functions can have covariant return types

- Both types must be single-level pointers or references to classes
- The referenced/pointed-to class in the base class function must be a direct or indirect base class of the referenced/pointed-to class in the derived class function
- The return type in the derived class function must be at most as cv-qualified as the return type in the base class function
- Most of the time, the referenced/pointed-to class in the derived class function is the derived class itself

Covariant Return Types (2)

Example

Construction and Destruction



Virtual functions have to be used carefully during construction and destruction

- During construction and destruction, a class behaves as if no more-derived classes exist
- I.e., virtual function calls during construction and destruction call the final overrider in the constructor's or destructor's class

```
struct Base {
    Base() { foo(); }
    virtual void foo();
};
struct Derived : Base {
    void foo();
};
int main() {
    Derived d; // On construction, Base::foo() is called
}
```

Virtual Destructors



Derived objects can be deleted through a pointer to the base class

- Undefined behavior unless the destructor in the base class is virtual
- The destructor in a base class should either be protected and non-virtual or public and virtual

```
#include <memory>
struct Base {
    virtual ~Base() { };
};
struct Derived : Base { };
int main() {
    Base* b = new Derived();
    delete b; // OK
}
```

The override Specifier



The override specifier should be used to prevent bugs

- The override specifier can appear directly after the declarator in a member function declaration or inline member function definition
- Ensures that the member function is virtual and overrides a base class method
- Useful to avoid bugs where a function in a derived class actually hides a base class function instead of overriding it

```
struct Base {
    virtual void foo(int i);
    virtual void bar();
};
struct Derived : Base {
    void foo(float i) override; // ERROR
    void bar() const override; // ERROR
};
```

The final Specifier (1)

The final specifier can be used to prevent overriding a function

• The final specifier can appear directly after the declarator in a member function declaration or inline member function definition

```
struct Base {
    virtual void foo() final;
};
struct Derived : Base {
    void foo() override; // ERROR
};
```


The final Specifier (2)

The final specifier can be used to prevent inheritance from a class

• The final specifier can appear in a class definition, immediately after the class name

```
struct Base final {
    virtual void foo();
};
struct Derived : Base { // ERROR
    void foo() override;
};
```

Abstract Classes (1)



- Any class which declares or inherits at least one *pure virtual* function is an abstract class
- A pure virtual member function declaration contains the sequence = 0 after the declarator and override/final specifiers
- Pointers and references to an abstract class can be declared

A definition can still be provided for a pure virtual function

- Derived classes can call this function using qualified name lookup
- The pure specifier = 0 cannot appear in a member function definition (i.e. the definition can not be provided inline)

Making a virtual function call to a pure virtual function in the constructor or destructor of an abstract class is **undefined behavior**

Abstract Classes (2)

Example

```
struct Base {
   virtual void foo() = 0;
};
struct Derived : Base {
   void foo() override;
};
int main() {
   Base b; // ERROR
   Derived d;
   Base& dr = d;
   dr.foo(); // calls Derived::foo()
}
```

```
Abstract Classes (3)
```

A definition may be provided for a pure virtual function

```
struct Base {
    virtual void foo() = 0;
};
void Base::foo() { /* do something */ }
struct Derived : Base {
    void foo() override { Base::foo(); }
};
```

Polymorphic Inheritance

Abstract Classes (4)



The destructor may also be marked as pure virtual

- Useful when a class needs to be abstract, but has no suitable functions that could be declared pure virtual
- In this case a definition *must* be provided

```
struct Base {
    virtual ~Base() = 0;
};
Base::~Base() { }
int main() {
    Base b; // ERROR
}
```

Abstract Classes (5)

Abstract classes cannot be instantiated

- Programs have to refer to abstract classes through pointers or references
- Smart pointers (owning), references (non-owning), or raw pointers (if nullptr is possible)

```
#include <memory>
struct Base {
    virtual ~Base():
   virtual void foo() = 0:
};
struct Derived : Base { void foo() override; };
void bar(const Base& b) { b.foo(); }
int main() {
    std::unique ptr<Base> b = std::make unique<Derived>();
    b->foo(); // calls Derived::foo()
    bar(*b); // calls Derived::foo() within bar
   // destroys b, undefined behavior unless ~Base() is virtual
```

Conversions

dynamic_cast (1)



Converts pointers and references to classes in an inheritance hierarchy

- Syntax: dynamic_cast < new_type > (expression)
- new_type may be a pointer or reference to a class type
- expression must be an lvalue expression of reference type if new type is a reference type, and an rvalue expression of pointer type otherwise

Most common use case: Safe downcasts in an inheritance hierarchy

- Involves a runtime check whether new_type is a base of the actual polymorphic type of *expression*
- If the check fails, returns nullptr for pointer types, and throws an exception for reference types
- Requires runtime type information which incurs some overhead

For other use cases: See the reference documentation

dynamic_cast (2)

```
struct A {
    virtual \sim A() = 0;
};
struct B : A {
    void foo() const;
};
struct C : A {
    void bar() const;
};
void baz(const A* aptr) {
    if (const B* bptr = dynamic_cast<const B*>(aptr)) {
        bptr->foo();
    } else if (const C* cptr = dynamic_cast<const C*>(aptr)) {
        cptr->bar();
    }
}
```

Inheritance Con

Conversions

dynamic_cast (3)

dynamic_cast has a non-trivial performance overhead

- Notable impact if many casts have to be performed
- Alternative: Use a type enum in conjunction with static_cast

```
struct Base {
    enum class Type {
        Base,
        Derived
    };
    Type type;
    Base() : type(Type::Base) { }
    Base(Type type) : type(type) { }
    virtual ~Base();
};
struct Derived : Base {
    Derived() : Base(Type::Derived) { }
};
```

```
dynamic_cast (4)
```

Example (continued)

```
void bar(const Base* basePtr) {
    switch (basePtr->type) {
    case Base::Type::Base:
        /* do something with Base */
        break;
    case Base::Type::Derived:
        const Derived* derivedPtr
            = static_cast<const Derived*>(basePtr);
        /* do something with Derived */
        break;
    }
```

Vtables (1)

Polymorphism does not come for free


- Dynamic dispatch has to be implemented somehow
- The C++ standard does not prescribe a specific implementation
- Compilers typically use *vtables* to resolve virtual function calls

Vtables setup and use

- One vtable is constructed per class with virtual functions
- The vtable contains the addresses of the virtual functions of that class
- Objects of classes with virtual functions contain an additional pointer to the base of the vtable
- When a virtual function is invoked, the pointer to the vtable is followed and the function that should be executed is resolved

Vtables (2)

```
struct Base {
    virtual void foo();
    virtual void bar();
};
struct Derived : Base {
    void foo() override;
};
int main() {
    Base b;
    Derived d;
    Base& br = b;
    Base& dr = d;
    br.foo():
    dr.foo();
```



Performance Implications

Virtual function calls incur an additional indirection

- The pointer to the vtable is followed
- The pointer to the actual function is followed
- Each step may incur a cache miss
- Can be very notable when invoking a virtual function millions of times

Polymorphic objects have larger size

- Each object of a polymorphic class needs to store a pointer to the vtable
- In our example, both Base and Derived occupy 8 bytes of memory despite having no data members



Recall the definition of a *base-specifier*

access-specifier virtual-specifier base-class-name

The access-specifier specifies the inheritance mode

- The inheritance mode controls the access mode of base class members in the derived class
- If no *access-specifier* is given, derived classes defined with struct have public inheritance mode by default
- If no *access-specifier* is given, derived classes defined with class have private inheritance mode by default

Public Inheritance (1)



Semantics

- Public base class members are usable as public members of the derived class
- Protected base class members are usable as protected members of the derived class

Models the subtyping (IS-A) relationship of object-oriented programming

- Pointers and references to a derived object should be usable wherever a pointer to the a base object is expected
- A derived class must maintain the class invariants of its base classes
- A derived class must not strengthen the preconditions of any member function it overrides
- A derived class must not weaken the postconditions of any member function it overrides

Public Inheritance (2)

```
class A {
    protected:
    int a:
    public:
    int b;
};
class B : public A {
    public:
    void foo() {
        return a + 42; // OK: a is usable as protected member of B
    }
};
int main() {
    B b:
    b.b = 42; // OK: b is usable as public member of B
    b.a = 42; // ERROR: a is not visible
}
```

Private Inheritance (1)



Semantics

- Public base class members are usable as private members of the derived class
- Protected base class members are usable as private members of the derived class

Some specialized use cases

- Policy-based design using templates (more details later)
- Mixins
- Model composition if some requirements are met
 - The base object needs to be constructed or destructed before or after some object in the derived object
 - The derived class needs access to protected members of the base class
 - The derived class needs to override virtual methods in the base class

Private Inheritance (2)

```
class A {
    protected:
    A(int); // Constructor is protected for some reason
};
class C : private A {
    public:
    C() : A(42) { }
    const A& getA() { // Act as if we have a member of type A
        return *this;
    }
};
```

Inheritance Modes

Protected Inheritance (1)

Semantics

- Public base class members are usable as protected members of the derived class
- Protected base class members are usable as protected members of the derived class
- Within the derived class and all further-derived classes, pointers and references to a derived object may be used where a pointer or reference to the base object is expected

Models "controlled polymorphism"

- Mainly used for the same purposes as private inheritance, where inheritance should be shared with subclasses
- Rarely seen in practice



Protected Inheritance (2)

```
class A {
    protected:
    int a;
    public:
    int b;
};
class B : protected A {
    public:
    void foo() {
        return a + 42; // OK: a is usable as protected member of B
    }
};
int main() {
    B b;
    b.b = 42; // ERROR: b is not visible
    b.a = 42; // ERROR: a is not visible
}
```

Multiple Inheritance

Multiple Inheritance



- C++ supports multiple inheritance
 - Rarely required ٠
 - Easy to produce convoluted code
 - Leads to implementation issues (e.g. diamond-inheritance)

There are C++ language features to address such issues

- You will likely never need multiple inheritance during this lecture
- For details: Check the reference documentation
- Multiple inheritance should be avoided whenever possible

Inheritance Exce

Exceptions

Exceptions in C++



 $C{\leftrightarrow\!\!\!+}$ supports exceptions with similar semantics as other languages

- Exceptions transfer control and information up the call stack
- Can be thrown by throw-expressions, dynamic_cast, new-expressions and some standard library functions

While transferring control up the call stack, C++ performs *stack unwinding*

- Properly cleans up all objects with automatic storage duration
- Ensures correct behavior e.g. of RAII classes

Exceptions do not have to be handled

- Can be handled in try-catch blocks
- Unhandled exceptions lead to termination of the program though
- Errors during exception handling also lead to termination of the program

Exceptions

Throwing Exceptions



Objects of any complete type may be thrown as exception objects

- Usually exception objects should derive directly or indirectly from std::exception, and contain information about the error condition
- Syntax: throw expression
- Copy-initializes the exception object from *expression* and throws it

```
#include <exception>
void foo(unsigned i) {
    if (i == 42)
        throw 42;
    throw std::exception();
}
```

Handling Exceptions



Exceptions are handled in try-catch blocks

- Exceptions that occur while executing the try-block can be handled in the catch-blocks
- The parameter type of the catch-block determines which type of exception causes the block to be entered

```
#include <exception>
void bar() {
   try {
     foo(42);
   } catch (int i) {
        /* handle exception */
   } catch (const std::exception& e) {
        /* handle exception */
   }
}
```

Usage Guidelines



Exceptions should only be used in rare cases

- Main legitimate use case: Failure to (re)establish a class invariant (e.g. failure to acquire a resource in an RAII constructor)
- Functions should **not** throw exceptions when preconditions are not met use assertions instead
- Exceptions should **not** be used for control flow

Some functions must not throw exceptions

- Destructors
- Move constructors and assignment operators
- See reference documentation for details

Generally, exceptions should be avoided where possible