Introduction
The goal of this exercise is to compare different synchronization approaches introduced in this week’s lecture. We analyze pessimistic and optimistic locking, exclusive and shared locks and vary the granularity from coarse- to fine-grained locking. As workload we use a list-based set provided in the code skeleton[1].

Part 1 - Implementation
Your task is synchronizing the given list-based set using the following approaches:

1. Coarse-Grained Locking
2. Coarse-Grained Locking with read/write lock
3. Lock Coupling
4. Lock Coupling with read/write locks
5. Optimistic
6. Optimistic Lock Coupling

Ignore memory reclamation (do not call delete).

Part 2 - Analysis and Evaluation
Apply the different synchronization techniques for the list-based set to analyze and compare them:

- How expensive is locking for the different approaches?
- How do the approaches perform in regard to #clock-cycles, #instructions and IPC?
- Which approach provides the best performance?

Vary the number of threads form low- to high contention, e.g., from 1-20 threads on the chair’s SkylakeX machine. Further, investigate the effect of the domain parameter. Provide graphical plots for your results and normalize the results to make them comparable, e.g., using parallel speed-up as metric (lecture 7, slide 6).

[1]https://gitlab.db.in.tum.de/dpmh-ss21/hw5
Submission guidelines

This homework has a duration of one week. Fork the repository and commit your changes in the git to hand in your homework.

The programming language of this homework is C++. We provide you a code skeleton, add functions needed for the implementation. For performance measuring of the experiments, you can either use the provided perfEvent.hpp and the commented code blocks or use the tools you applied in the previous assignments.