Exercise 1

Consider the following ER-diagram:

1)  

```
   a   x   1
A -- R -- B
   a   x   z
   a   x   y
```

2)  

```
   a   x   1
A -- R -- B
   a   x   y
   a   x   b
```

Refine and transform this diagram into a database schema (SQL DDL). You can assume that each attribute is an integer. Use not null, primary key, references, unique and cascade when possible/necessary.

Solution:

1)

```sql
create table A (
    a int not null primary key,
    x int
);
create table B (
    b int not null primary key,
    y int
);
create table R (
    a int not null references A primary key,
    b int not null references B,
    z int
);
```

Alternatively, we can merge the R relation into the A relation. Remember, a relationship can be merged into the entity with the same key or (graphically) the one on the “N”-side.
The downside of this is that we now have information about the relation \( R \) inside of the \( A \) relation (namely, the \( z \) and \( b \) attribute). If \( R \) is a sparse relationship (not many tuples in \( A \) are connected to \( B \)), then we end up with a lot of null values.

Also take note of how the foreign keys in \( R \) (that is, \( R.a \) and \( R.b \)) are marked as \textit{not null}. Once \( R \) is merged into \( A \), the reference to \( B \) (that is, \( A.b \)) becomes \textit{nullable}. The reason for this is that the entity relationship diagram specifies that each tuple in \( A \) has zero or one partners in \( B \). We need the \textit{nullable} for \( A.b \), otherwise zero partners would not work. This is not necessary if we translate \( R \) as its own relation because in this case the “zero partner”-case is expressed by simply not having a tuple in \( R \).

2)

Exercise 2

In the following ER-diagram, we model people (\textit{person}). The \textit{married} relation models the German law (i.e., each person can have at most one spouse). The \textit{parent_of} is to be interpreted in the traditional biological way (i.e., each person has exactly one mother and one father).
First, refine the diagram by adding the min/max notation. Then, create SQL-statements that would create the corresponding tables in a database system. Use not null, primary key, references, unique and cascade when possible/necessary.

Solution:

First, we add the min/max notation to the ER-diagram:

The following SQL statements created the desired tables:

```sql
create table Person (  
    ssn char(9) not null primary key,  
    name varchar(50) not null  
);  
create table married (  
    ssn_partner_1 char(9) not null references person(ssn) on  
        delete cascade,  
    ssn_partner_2 char(9) not null references person(ssn) on  
        delete cascade,  
    unique (ssn_partner_1),  
    primary key (ssn_partner_2)  
);  
create table parent_of (  
    ssn_child char(9) not null references person(ssn) on  
        delete cascade,  
    ssn_parent char(9) not null references person(ssn) on  
        delete cascade,  
```
In DB2 (IBM’s flagship database system) all attributes which are part of the primary key have to be marked explicitly as *not null*, implicitly they are nullable. In general, making the primary key not nullable makes a lot of sense, as we do want actual values in our key attributes to be able to identify tuples. The SQL standard from 1992 (SQL92) actually enforces this rule and requires that all attributes that are part of a primary key are implicitly *not null* in the system. However, some database systems fail to implement this correctly and, therefore, diverge from the standard (e.g., DB2). Therefore, it might be a smart choice to explicitly declare the primary key attributes as *not null* and thus be on the safe side.

In the *married* relation, we can choose either of the partners to be the primary key. The other one should be marked as unique, this way we enforce that each person is only married to one other person.

In the *parent_of* relation, we have to use both *child* and *parent* as the primary key. Using only the *child* attribute does not work because every person has two parents and therefore occurs twice in the *parent_of* relation. Using only the *parent* attribute fails in a similar wary: each person can have multiple children and can therefore occur any number of times in the *parent_of* relation.

As discussed in the lecture there are some alternatives for translating the entity relationship model into SQL statements. For example the *on delete cascade* semantic in the *married* relation could be left out. In this case it would not be possible to remove people from the *person* table as long as they are still married.

Another option for such a change, would be to merge the *married* relation into the *person* relation. This is possible because *married* is a 1-to-1 relationship. However, this would lead to many *null* in the *person* relation, as many people are not married. This would waste space and is therefore not to be recommended.

One change, that would actually change the entity relationship model (and is therefore not part of the question, but an interesting alternative) is to make the *parent_of* relation into a ternary relation with *mother*, *father*, and *child*. We could then translate the relation as follows:

```sql
create table parent_of (  
    ssn_child char(9) not null references person(ssn) on delete cascade,  
    ssn_monther char(9) references person(ssn) on delete set null,  
    ssn_father char(9) references person(ssn) on delete set null,  
    primary key(child)
);
```

**Exercise 3**

Write your first SQL query!

You can setup your own database system and import the university schema (on our website: [https://db.in.tum.de/teaching/ws2021/DBSandere/uni_schema.sql](https://db.in.tum.de/teaching/ws2021/DBSandere/uni_schema.sql)) or use the Hyper WebInterface ([https://hyper-db.de/interface.html](https://hyper-db.de/interface.html)).
Try finding the name and the semester of all students (this is mainly to validate that you have access to a working database).

**Solution:**

```sql
select name, semester from students;
```