Concepts of C++ Programming Lecture 6: Memory Management and Copy/Move

Alexis Engelke

Chair of Data Science and Engineering (125) School of Computation, Information, and Technology Technical University of Munich

Winter 2024/25

Stack vs. Heap Memory

Stack Memory

- Used for objects with automatic storage duration
- Compiler can decide when allocation/dealloc happens
- + Fast allocation/deallocation
- No dynamic data structures
- Only small allocations (few kiB)
- Memory freed on return

Heap Memory

- Used for objects with dynamic storage duration
- Programmer explicitly manages allocation/deallocation
- + Very flexible
- Alloc/dealloc is expensive
- Memory fragmentation
- Error prone!
 - Memory leaks, double free

Dynamic Memory Management

• Create and initialize object: new type initializer⁷⁹

- Type must be a type, can be an array; initializer optional
- Allocates heap storage, initializes object, returns pointer

Destroy object and release memory: delete expr/delete[] expr⁸⁰

- Expression must be a pointer allocated by new; ignored if nullptr
- Invoke destructor, deallocate memory

```
#include <print>
class Foo {
   const int birthYear;
public:
   explicit Foo(int birthYear) : birthYear(birthYear) {}
    int getAge(int year) const { return year - birthYear; }
};
int main() {
   Foo* foo = new Foo(2021):
   std::println("age:__{}", foo->getAge(2024));
   delete foo:
   return 0;
}
```

Quiz: What is the output of the program?

```
#include <print>
#include <string_view>
class Ballot {
   const bool voteGOP;
public:
   Ballot(bool voteGOP) : voteGOP(voteGOP) {}
   std::string_view getParty() const { return voteGOP ? "GOP" : "DNC"; }
};
int main() {
   Ballot ballot = new Ballot(/*voteGOP=*/false);
   std::println("Voted_{"}", ballot.getParty());
   return 0:
}
A. (compile error)
                                                     D. (undefined behavior)
                    B. Voted DNC C. Voted GOP
```

Quiz: What is problematic about this function?

```
#include <ctime>
class Ballot { /* ... */ };
Ballot* castBallot() {
   std::time_t time = std::time(nullptr); // UNIX timestamp
   Ballot* ballot = new Ballot(time % 2); // Informed decision
   if (time % 5 == 3)
      return nullptr; // ... polling station is too far away :(
   return ballot;
```

}

- A. Memory is leaked when the condition is taken.
- B. Memory is leaked when the condition is not taken.
- C. Memory is always leaked.
- D. The function does not always return the same value.

Destructor⁸¹

- Special function called when lifetime of object ends
 - ▶ For automatic storage dur: called at scope end in reverse definition order
 - Destructors of class members called automatically in reverse order
- No return time, no arguments, name ~ClassName()
- Typical use: deallocate managed resources

Destructor: Example

```
struct Bar { /* ... */ };
struct Foo {
   Bar b1;
   Bar b2:
   ~Foo() {
       std::println("bye");
       // b2.~Bar(); called
       // b1.~Bar(); called
    }
};
void doFoo() {
   Foo f;
   { Bar b; /* b.~Bar(); called */ }
   // f.~Foo(); called
}
```

Using Destructors to Deallocate Resources

```
class FooPtr {
   Foo* ptr;
public:
   FooPtr(int birthYear) : ptr(new Foo(birthYear)) {
       std::println("new_{}}", static_cast<void*>(ptr));
    ~FooPtr() {
       std::println("deleted_{}", static_cast<void*>(ptr));
       delete ptr:
    }
   Foo& operator*() const { return *ptr; }
   Foo* operator->() const { return ptr; }
};
int main() {
   FooPtr foo(2021);
   std::println("age:__{}", foo->getAge(2024));
   return 0;
}
```

Quiz: What is problematic about this code?

```
class FooPtr { /* ... */ };
void printAge(FooPtr foo) {
   std::println("age:__{}", foo->getAge(2024));
}
int main() {
   FooPtr foo(2021);
   printAge(foo);
   return 0;
}
```

- A. An instance of Foo is leaked.
- B. The getAge call uses an object outside its lifetime.
- C. The same instance of Foo is destroyed twice.
- D. There is no problem.

Copy Semantics

- Assignment/construction typically copies object
- ▶ By default, copy is *shallow*
- Ok for fundamental types, problematic for user-defined types
- Copying may be expensive
- Copying may be unintended/avoidable
- Copying is problematic with managed resources
 - Might cause leak, when assigned-to object already has resources
 - Might cause double-free

Copy Constructor⁸²

Syntax: ClassName(const ClassName&)

Invoked on initialization from an object of same type:

- Copy initialization: T a = b;
- Direct initialization: T a(b);
- Argument passing: f(b) for void f(T a);

```
class FooPtr {
   // ...
   FooPtr(const FooPtr& other) : ptr(new Foo(*other)) {}
   //...
};
```

Copy Assignment⁸³

- Syntax 1: ClassName& operator=(const ClassName&) (preferred)
- Syntax 2: ClassName& operator=(ClassName) (sometime useful, see later)
- Typically returns *this
- Invoked when assigning to an already initialized object

▶ a = b;

```
class FooPtr {
    // ...
    FooPtr& operator=(const FooPtr& other) { // PROBLEMATIC, see next slide
        delete ptr;
        ptr = new Foo(*other);
        return *this;
    }
    //...
};
```

83https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/copy_assignment

Copy Assignment

Quiz: What is problematic about this code?

```
class FooPtr { /* ... */
   FooPtr& operator=(const FooPtr& other) {
      delete ptr; ptr = new Foo(*other);
      return *this;
   } /* ... */ };
int main() {
   FooPtr foo(2021);
   foo = foo;
}
```

- A. Some memory is used after it has been freed.
- B. The delete/new is unnecessary.
- C. Self-assignment of classes is forbidden in C++.
- D. There is no problem.

Copy Assignment (fixed)

```
class FooPtr {
   11 ...
   FooPtr& operator=(const FooPtr& other) { // Fixed version
       if (this == &other) // check for self-assignment
           return *this:
       delete ptr; // NB: could try to reuse storage
       ptr = new Foo(*other);
       return *this;
   }
   11...
};
```

Implicit Declaration of Copy Constructor/Assignment

- Compiler implicitly declares copy constructor/assignment if not explicitly declared
 - ▶ Will be public inline and perform member-wise copy in initialization order
- Implicit copy constructor/assignment deleted, if:
 - Class has members that cannot be copy-constructed/assigned; or
 - Class has a user-defined move constructor or assignment operator
- See reference for more details
- Explicit deletion: T(const T&) = delete;
- Explicit deletion: T& operator=(const T&) = delete;

Custom Copy Operations: Guidelines

- If implicit copy not sufficient: typically should not be copyable
- Exception: if class manages resources, e.g. dynamic memory
- Rule of three⁸⁴: If one of the following is user-defined, all three have to be: destructor, copy constructor, copy assignment
 - Custom destructor: cleanup needs to be done on copy assignment
 - Custom copy constructor: custom setup, needs to be done in copy assignment
 - Custom resource management (e.g., file descriptor): implicit versions incorrect

Move Semantics

- Copy semantics often incur avoidable overhead
- Object might be immediately destroyed after copy
- Object might be unable to share resources for copy
- Move constructor/assignment "steals" resources of argument
- Leave argument in valid, empty state (destructor will be called nonetheless)
- Indicated by use of rvalue reference

Move Constructor⁸⁵

- Syntax: ClassName(ClassName&&) noexcept
- Invoked on initialization from an temporary value of same type
- $\rightsquigarrow\,$ Steal resources of argument, its lifetime ends at the constructor end

```
class FooPtr {
    // ...
    FooPtr(FooPtr&& other) : ptr(other.ptr) {
        other.ptr = nullptr; // Must leave in valid, empty state for destructor
    }
    //...
};
```

Move Assignment⁸⁶

Syntax: ClassName& operator=(ClassName&&) noexcept
 Invoked when assigning an rvalue to an already initialized object

 a = b();

```
class FooPtr {
   11 ...
   FooPtr& operator=(FooPtr&& other) {
       if (this == &other)
           return *this;
       delete ptr;
       ptr = other.ptr;
       other.ptr = nullptr;
       return *this:
   3
   11...
};
```

Implicit Declaration of Move Constructor/Assignment

- Compiler implicitly declares move constructor/assignment if:
 - No user-declared copy/move constructors, assignment operators, and destructors
- Implicit move constructor/assignment deleted, if:
 - Class has members that cannot be move-constructed/assigned; or
 - Class has member of reference type
- See reference for more details

Explicit deletion possible similar to copy constructor/assignment

Custom Move Operations: Guidelines

- If class manages resources: custom move often necessary
- Move operations should not allocate new resources
- Moved-from object must remain in valid state
- ► Rule of five⁸⁷:
 - ► If move semantics are desired: need all five special member functions
 - ▶ If only move semantics are desired: still need all five, define copy as deleted

Implementing move operations is typically a pure optimization

Converting Lvalue to Rvalue Reference

- Want to move object?
- But only have an lvalue?
- static_cast<Type&&>(obj)
 - ▶ New value category: xvalue eXpiring object whose resources can be reused
 - Like lvalue, object has an identity
 - Like rvalue, can be moved from (i.e., overload resolution selects rvalue-ref variant)
- Syntactic sugar (preferred): std::move(obj) from <utility>

Copy/Move Constructor

Quiz: Which methods on FooPtr are called?

Assume that FooPtr implements all copy/move constructors/assignments.

```
FooPtr createFoo() { return FooPtr(2020); }
void printAge(FooPtr foo) {
   std::println("age:__{}", foo->getAge(2024));
}
int main() {
   FooPtr f = createFoo();
   printAge(createFoo());
}
```

A. constr; copy-constr; destr; constr; copy-constr; destr; destr; destr

- B. constr; copy-constr; destr; constr; move-constr; destr; destr; destr
- C. constr; constr; copy-constr; destr; destr; destr
- D. constr; constr; destr; destr

Copy Elision⁸⁸

- Compilers (must) sometimes omit copy/move constructors if object can be directly in storage where it would be copied/moved to
- Examples: return values, arguments with prvalue
- \Rightarrow Zero-copy pass-by value semantics
- ▶ Some elisions are required by C++17, but not all
- \rightsquigarrow Portable programs should not rely on side-effects of constructors/destructor

Copy-And-Swap

- Class defines ClassName& operator=(ClassName) for copy/move
- Exchange resources between argument and *this
- Copy constructor creates copy
- Let destructor clean up resources at function return

Resource Acquisition is Initialization (RAII)⁸⁹

- Idea: bind lifetime of resource to lifetime of an object
 - ▶ Resources: heap memory, files, mutex, database connection, ...
- \Rightarrow Guarantees resource availability during lifetime of object
- $\Rightarrow\,$ Guarantees that resource is released at lifetime end of object
- Encapsulate each resource into a class solely responsible for managing it
- Constructor acquires resource; destructor releases resource
- Delete copy ops, implement custom move ops

RAII Example

```
class FooPtr {
   Foo* ptr;
public:
   FooPtr(int birthYear) : ptr(new Foo(birthYear)) {}
   ~FooPtr() { delete ptr; }
   FooPtr(const FooPtr& other) = delete;
   FooPtr(FooPtr&& other) : ptr(other.ptr) { other.ptr = nullptr; }
   FooPtr& operator=(const FooPtr& other) = delete;
   FooPtr& operator=(FooPtr&& other) { // code style condensed for slide :|
       if (this != &other) { delete ptr; ptr = other.ptr; other.ptr = nullptr; }
       return *this;
   }
1:
int consumeFoo(FooPtr foo) {
   if (condition)
       return 1; // No need to free memory
   11 ...
   return 0:
3
int main() {
   FooPtr foo(2020);
   return consumeFoo(std::move(foo)): // foo is empty now
}
```

RAII: Implications

- ▶ One of the most important and powerful idioms in C++
- RAII objects should have automatic(/temporary) storage duration
 Compiler manages lifetime and thus resource
- Don't use new/delete outside of RAII class
 - C++ provides smart pointers for this, see later
- ► Keep RAII classes (custom copy/move/destructor) small and focused
- ▶ For all other classes, use default or delete
 - ▶ Rule of zero⁹⁰

- Enabled by RAII idiom with move semantics
- ► A resource is always "owned", i.e., encapsulated by exactly one C++ object
- Ownership can be transferred by moving the object
 - ▶ Pass RAII class by value or return to indicate transfer of ownership
- Very rarely, shared ownership is needed

std::unique_ptr⁹¹

- Smart pointer ownership for an arbitrary pointer/array (can be nullptr)
- Automatically destroys object when unique_ptr goes out of scope
- Can be used like a raw pointer but only moveable, not copyable
- Pass std::unique_ptr by value, not by reference
- Prefer std::unqiue_ptr over raw pointers

```
#include <memory>
class Foo { /* ... */ };
int main() {
    // make_unique forwards arguments to constructor
    std::unique_ptr<Foo> foo = std::make_unique<Foo>(2020);
    if (!foo) return 1; // contextually convertible to bool, like raw pointer
    foo->printAge(2024); // ->, * work as for raw pointers
    Foo* fp = foo.get(); // get raw pointer
    // Foo* fp2 = foo.release(); // release ownership; must delete manually
}
```

```
std::unique_ptr for Arrays
```

Can also be used for heap-based arrays

```
std::unique_ptr<int[]> foo(unsigned size) {
   std::unique_ptr<int[]> buffer = std::make_unique<int[]>(size);
   for (unsigned i = 0; i < size; ++i)
        buffer[i] = i;
   return buffer; // transfer ownership to caller
}
int main() {
   std::unique_ptr<int[]> buffer = foo(42);
   // do something
}
```

std::shared_ptr⁹²

- Smart pointer with shared ownership
- Resource released when last owner releases it
- Implemented through atomic reference counting
- Can be copied and moved
- Use std::make_shared for creation

std::shared_ptr is expensive and should be avoided where possible

std::shared_ptr - Example

```
#include <memory>
#include <vector>
struct Node {
   std::vector<std::shared_ptr<Node>> children;
   void addChild(std::shared_ptr<Node> child);
   void removeChild(unsigned index);
}:
int main() {
   Node root;
   root.addChild(std::make shared<Node>());
   root.addChild(std::make_shared<Node>());
   root.children[0]->addChild(root.children[1]):
   root.removeChild(1); // does not free memory yet
   root.removeChild(0); // frees memory of both children
}
```

Usage Guidelines

Param. Type	Type Copyable	Type not Copyable
Т	Copy, small objects only	Transfer ownership
T&/const T&	No ownership transfer, object larger than pointer; const if callee should not modify object; don't use for unique_ptr&friends	
T*/const T*	Like &, but nullable	
T&&	Ownership transfer	— (use T)

Memory Management and Copy/Move – Summary

- Heap memory manually managed with new/delete
- Classes have destructors executed at end of lifetime
- Custom copy constructor and assignment required for resource management
 - Rule of three: if you need one, you need all: destructor, copy constructor/assignment
- Custom move constructor and assignment possible as optimization
- Rvalue references indicate moving, use std::move for moving lvalues
- Use small RAII classes for managing resources
- Use std::unique_ptr instead of manual new/delete
- For shared ownership use std::shared_ptr, but avoid if possible

Memory Management and Copy/Move – Questions

- What are problems of manually using new/delete?
- What is the difference between copy constructor and assignment?
- Why do assignment operators often guard against self-assignment?
- ▶ When are the implicitly declared constructors/assignments sufficient?
- What is the difference between copying and moving a value?
- ▶ Why pass-by-value unproblematic for returns but not for parameters?
- What does std::move do?
- ▶ What is the benefit of using dedicated resource/RAII classes?
- How to express ownership transfer in parameters?