Introduction to the Relational Model and SQL

- After completing this chapter, you should be able to:
 - ▷ explain basic notions of the relational model:
 - table/relation, row/tuple, column/attribute, column value/attribute value,
 - ▷ explain the meaning of keys and foreign keys,

Overview

- 1. The Relational Model, Example Database
- 2. Simple SQL Queries
- 3. Historical Remarks

The Relational Model (1)

• The **relational model** structures data in **tabular form**, *i.e.*, a relational database is a set of named tables.

A relational database schema defines:

- Names of tables in the database,
- ② the **columns of each table**, *i.e.*, the **column name** and the **data types** of the column entries,
- integrity constraints, i.e., conditions that data entered into the tables is required to satisfy.

The Relational Model (2)

- **Example:** Assume a database maintaining information about a small real-world subset: a company's departments and employees.
- Two tables:
 - ▶ EMP: information about employees.
 - ▷ DEPT: information about departments.

| Table DEPT | | | | | |
|------------|--------|------------|----------|--|--|
| | | DEPT | | | |
| | DEPTNO | DNAME | LOC | | |
| | 10 | ACCOUNTING | NEW YORK | | |
| | 20 | RESEARCH | DALLAS | | |
| | 30 | SALES | CHICAGO | | |
| | 40 | OPERATIONS | BOSTON | | |

The Relational Model (3)

- The three **columns** of table DEPT have the following **data types**:
 - DEPTNO has data type NUMERIC(2), i.e., the column can hold two-digit integer entries −99...99.
 An integrity constraint can be used to exclude negative
 - department numbers.

 ▷ DNAME has type VARCHAR(14), *i.e.*, the entries are character strings of variable length of up to 14 characters.
 - ▷ LOC has type VARCHAR(13).

The Relational Model (4)


- A relational database state (instance of a given schema) defines a set of rows for each table.
- In the now current state, table DEPT has four rows.
- the rows (e.g., first row, second row).

 Rows can be sorted for output, though.

• The relational model does not define any particular order of

Each row specifies values for each column of the table.

Summary (1)



Summary (2)

- A more theoretically inclined person would use the following equivalent terminology:
 - \triangleright Table \equiv relation

Formally, a table is a subset of the Cartesian product of the domains of the column data types, *i.e.*, a relation in the mathematical sense.

Example: Cartesian coordinates are (X,Y)-tuples of real numbers, *i.e.*, elements of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. The relation < defines a subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, e.g., (1,2) is contained in <, while (2,1) is not. In an RDBMS, relations are always finite and may involve more than two columns.

- $ightharpoonup Row \equiv tuple$
- ▷ Column ≡ attribute

Summary (3)

- "Old-style" practitioners might equivalently say:
 - ⊳ Row ≡ record

A table row (or tuple) is basically the same as a record in Pascal or struct in C: it has several named components. However, the storage structure of a tuple in external memory (disk) does not necessarily match the layout of a record in main memory.

- Column ≡ field
- ightharpoonup Table entry \equiv **field value**

Keys (1)

• The column DEPTNO is declared as the **kev** of table DEPT.

Relational Kevs

A key always uniquely identifies a single row in its associated table.

- Table DEPT, for example, already contains a row with key DEPTNO = 10.
- If one tries to add another row with the same value 10 for DEPTNO, the DBMS responds with an error.



Keys (2)

- Keys are an example of **constraints:** conditions that the table contents (database state) must satisfy *in addition* to the basic structure prescribed by the columns.
- Constraints are declared as part of the database schema.

• More than one key can be declared for a table

\$

More keys?

One could, for example, discuss whether DNAME should also be a key (in addition to DEPTNO already being a key). This would exclude the possibility that there can ever be two or more departments of the same name.

• Keys and other constraints are treated in Chapter 2.

Another Example Table (1)

- Table EMP (data about employees) with the following columns:
 - ▷ ENAME: Employee name

▷ EMPNO: A unique number for every employee

- ▶ MGR: Direct supervisor of this employee
- ▷ SAL: Employee salary
- ▷ COMM: Commission (only for salespeople)▷ DEPTNO: Department of employee

Another Example Table (2)

Table EMP

| EMP | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|-----------|------|-----------|------|------|--------|
| EMPNO | ENAME | JOB | MGR | HIREDATE | SAL | COMM | DEPTNO |
| 7369 | SMITH | CLERK | 7902 | 17-DEC-80 | 800 | | 20 |
| 7499 | ALLEN | SALESMAN | 7698 | 20-FEB-81 | 1600 | 300 | 30 |
| 7521 | WARD | SALESMAN | 7698 | 22-FEB-81 | 1250 | 500 | 20 |
| 7566 | JONES | MANAGER | 7839 | 02-APR-81 | 2975 | | 20 |
| 7654 | MARTIN | SALESMAN | 7698 | 28-SEP-81 | 1250 | 1400 | 20 |
| 7698 | BLAKE | MANAGER | 7839 | 01-MAY-81 | 2850 | | 20 |
| 7782 | CLARK | MANAGER | 7839 | 09-JUN-81 | 2450 | | 20 |
| 7788 | SCOTT | ANALYST | 7566 | 09-DEC-82 | 3000 | | 20 |
| 7839 | KING | PRESIDENT | | 17-NOV-81 | 5000 | | 20 |
| 7844 | TURNER | SALESMAN | 7698 | 09-SEP-81 | 1500 | 0 | 20 |
| 7876 | ADAMS | CLERK | 7788 | 12-JAN-83 | 1100 | | 20 |
| 7900 | JAMES | CLERK | 7698 | 03-DEC-81 | 950 | | 20 |
| 7902 | FORD | ANALYST | 7566 | 03-DEC-81 | 3000 | | 20 |
| 7934 | MILLER | CLERK | 7782 | 23-JAN-82 | 1300 | | 20 |
| | | | | | | | |

pointer."

- Physical pointers (memory addresses) are unknown to the relational model.
 However, the relational model provides a kind of "logical"
- For example, the column DEPTNO in table EMP refers to column DEPTNO in table DEPT.
- Since DEPTNO is declared as a key in table DEPT, a department number uniquely identifies a single row of DEPT.
- number uniquely identifies a single row of DEPT.
 A value for DEPTNO (in table EMP) can be seen as a "logical address" of a row in table DEPT.

Foreign Keys (2)

- By including a department number in table EMP, each row in EMP "points to" a single row in table DEPT.
- It is important for the integrity of the database, that the



"Dangling pointers"

If a row in table EMP contains a DEPTNO value of 70, the reference "dangles."

department numbers in EMP in fact occur in DEPT.

A DBMS, however, does *not* crash as would be the case with real physical pointers (memory addresses). In SQL, references are followed by comparing column values. Nevertheless, such a column entry is a kind of error and should be avoided. This is the purpose of **foreign keys**.

Foreign Keys (3)

• The relational model permits to declare column DEPTNO as a **foreign key** that references table DEPT.

Foreign Keys

- > Then the DBMS will refuse
 - an insertion into table EMP with a value for DEPTNO that does not appear in DEPT,
 - a deletion of a row in DEPT that is still referenced by a row in EMP.^a
 - corresponding updates (changes) of DEPTNO values.
- $^{\rm a}{\rm It}$ might be reasonable to recursively delete all employees of that department, too (cascading delete).

Foreign Keys (4)

Table EMP also contains a second foreign key:
 Column MGR contains the employee number of the employee's

This shows that

direct supervisor.

- ▶ it is possible that a foreign key refer to another row in the same table (or even the same row),
- $\,\vartriangleright\,$ the foreign key column and referenced key may have different names (here: MGR and EMPNO).

Null Values

- The relational model allows column entries to remain empty (*i.e.*, the column/row contains a **null value**).
- For example, in table EMP:
 - ① only salespeople have a commission,
 - ② the company president has no supervisor.
- When a table schema is declared, we may specify for each column whether null values are accepted or not.
- Null values are treated specially in comparisons.

Overview

- 1. The Relational Model, Example Database
- 2. Simple SQL Queries
 - 3. Historical Remarks

Simple SQL Queries (1)

• Simple SQL gueries have the following syntactic form:

```
SELECT · · · FROM · · · WHERE · · ·
```

- ▶ After FROM: comma-separated list of **table names** from which to extract data.
- ▶ After WHERE: specify predicate (Boolean expression, true/false) which selected rows are required to satisfy.
 A missing WHERE-clause is equivalent to WHERE TRUE.
- ▶ After SELECT: define which **columns** appear in the result table.

Note: SELECT * selects all columns

Simple SQL Queries (2)

Show the entire department table (all rows, all columns)

SELECT * FROM DEPT

Result:

| DEPTNO | DNAME | LOC |
|--------|------------|----------|
| 10 | ACCOUNTING | NEW YORK |
| 20 | RESEARCH | DALLAS |
| 30 | SALES | CHICAGO |
| 40 | OPERATIONS | BOSTON |

• Equivalent formulation:

SELECT DEPTNO, DNAME, LOC FROM DEPT WHERE TRUE

Simple SQL Queries (3)

- SQL is *not* case-sensitive, except inside string constants.
- The syntax of SQL is format-free (newlines, whitespaces, *etc.* may be arbitrarily used).

```
Commonly found layout of SELECT-FROM-WHERE blocks

select deptno, dname, loc
from dept
```

Using Conditions (1)

To extract all data about the department in DALLAS

SELECT * FROM DEPT WHERE LOC = 'DALLAS'

Result:

| DEPTNO | DNAME | LOC |
|--------|----------|--------|
| 20 | RESEARCH | DALLAS |

- String constants are enclosed in single quotes (').
- Inside string constants, SQL is case-sensitive. The following will select 0 rows (empty result):

SELECT * FROM DEPT WHERE LOC = 'Dallas'

Using Conditions (2)

Print the name, job, and salary of all employees earning at least \$2500

SELECT ENAME, JOB, SAL

FROM EMP

WHERE SAL >= 2500

Result:

| ENAME | JOB | SAL |
|-------|-----------|------|
| JONES | MANAGER | 2975 |
| BLAKE | MANAGER | 2850 |
| SCOTT | ANALYST | 3000 |
| KING | PRESIDENT | 5000 |
| FORD | ANALYST | 3000 |

Using Conditions (3)

• SQL evaluates WHERE **before** SELECT:

The WHERE-clause may refer to columns which do not appear in the result.

Print the employee number and name of all managers SELECT EMPNO, ENAME

FROM EMP
WHERE JOB = 'MANAGER'

| EMPNO | ENAME |
|-------|-------|
| 7566 | JONES |
| 7698 | BLAKE |
| 7782 | CLARK |

Pattern Matching (1)

• SQL also provides an operator for text **pattern matching** allowing the use of wildcards:

```
Print the employee number and name of all managers

SELECT EMPNO, ENAME
FROM EMP
WHERE JOB LIKE 'MANA%'
```

- ▷ % matches any sequence of arbitrary characters,▷ _ (underscore) matches any single character.
- Compare: UNIX shell globbing via * and ?.

Pattern Matching (2)

- The SQL keyword LIKE needs to be used for pattern matching. The equals sign (=) tests for literal equality.
- The following is a legal SQL query but will return an empty result:



```
SELECT EMPNO, ENAME
FROM EMP
WHERE JOB = 'MANA%'
```

Arithmetic Expressions

SQL provides the standard arithmetic operators.
 Print employee names earning less than \$15,000 per year

```
SELECT ENAME
FROM EMP
WHERE SAL < 15000 / 12
```



ullet The predicate SAL * 12 < 15000 is equivalent (column names act like variable identifiers in programming languages).

Renaming Output Columns

Print the yearly salary of all managers

```
SELECT ENAME, SAL * 12
FROM EMP
WHERE JOB = 'MANAGER'
```

| ENAME | SAL * 12 |
|-------|----------|
| JONES | 35700 |
| BLAKE | 34200 |
| CLARK | 29400 |

• To rename the second result column:

```
SELECT ENAME, SAL * 12 [AS] YEARLY_SALARY ...
```

Logical Connectives (1)

• The Boolean operators AND, OR, NOT and parentheses () may be used to construct more complex conditions.

```
Print name and salary of all managers and the president

SELECT ENAME, SAL

FROM EMP

WHERE JOB = 'MANAGER' OR JOB = 'PRESIDENT'
```

 $\bullet\,$ The query would not work as expected with AND instead of OR.

Logical Connectives (2)

AND

• Without parentheses, AND binds stronger than OR (and NOT binds stronger than AND).

```
Print name, salary of all well-paid managers and presidents
   SELECT
            ENAME. SAL
   FROM
           EMP
```

WHERE JOB = 'MANAGER' OR JOB = 'PRESIDENT'


The system will parse the condition as

SAI. >= 3000

WHERE JOB = 'MANAGER' (JOB = 'PRESIDENT' AND SAL >= 3000) ΩR.

Removing Duplicate Rows

• In general, queries can produce duplicate rows.



- The DBMS processes this query by a loop over table EMP and extracts the job of every employee. The same job name will be extracted multiple times (and then printed by the SQL console).
- **Duplicate row elimination** may be requested by adding the keyword DISTINCT after SELECT:

List all distinct jobs SELECT DISTINCT JOB FROM EMP

Sorting Output Rows (1)

 The row ordering emitted by a SQL query is not predictable, unless an explicit request for sorting is added.

```
Print employees names and salary, alphabetically ordered by employee name

SELECT ENAME, SAL
FROM EMP
ORDER BY ENAME
```

 Some SQL implementations implement the ORDER BY-clause on the SQL console level only: the row printing order is changed.

Sorting Output Rows (2)

• The ORDER BY-clause permits multiple sorting criteria (useful only if one or more columns contain duplicate entries).

Print employees who earn \$2000 at least, ordered

```
by department number and descending salaries

SELECT DEPTNO, ENAME, SAL

FROM EMP
WHERE SAL >= 2000
ORDER BY DEPTNO, SAL DESC
```

- SQL orders lexicographically (here: first by DEPTNO, then by SAL).
- Sort order is ascending (ASC) by default.

Outlook: Joining Tables SQL allows to combine data from different tables.

SELECT.

("dot notation").

Print employees in the RESEARCH department FNAME.

FROM EMP, DEPT WHERE EMP. DEPTNO = DEPT. DEPTNO AND DNAME = 'RESEARCH'

combination of rows from both tables (cross product).

Conceptually, SQL evaluates the WHERE-predicate for every

• Since column name DEPTNO appears in both tables, disambiguate the column reference by prefixing the table name

SQL Quiz (1)

Table EMP

| EMP | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|-----------|------|-----------|------|------|--------|
| EMPNO | ENAME | JOB | MGR | HIREDATE | SAL | COMM | DEPTNO |
| 7369 | SMITH | CLERK | 7902 | 17-DEC-80 | 800 | | 20 |
| 7499 | ALLEN | SALESMAN | 7698 | 20-FEB-81 | 1600 | 300 | 30 |
| 7521 | WARD | SALESMAN | 7698 | 22-FEB-81 | 1250 | 500 | 20 |
| 7566 | JONES | MANAGER | 7839 | 02-APR-81 | 2975 | | 20 |
| 7654 | MARTIN | SALESMAN | 7698 | 28-SEP-81 | 1250 | 1400 | 20 |
| 7698 | BLAKE | MANAGER | 7839 | 01-MAY-81 | 2850 | | 20 |
| 7782 | CLARK | MANAGER | 7839 | 09-JUN-81 | 2450 | | 20 |
| 7788 | SCOTT | ANALYST | 7566 | 09-DEC-82 | 3000 | | 20 |
| 7839 | KING | PRESIDENT | | 17-NOV-81 | 5000 | | 20 |
| 7844 | TURNER | SALESMAN | 7698 | 09-SEP-81 | 1500 | 0 | 20 |
| 7876 | ADAMS | CLERK | 7788 | 12-JAN-83 | 1100 | | 20 |
| 7900 | JAMES | CLERK | 7698 | 03-DEC-81 | 950 | | 20 |
| 7902 | FORD | ANALYST | 7566 | 03-DEC-81 | 3000 | | 20 |
| 7934 | MILLER | CLERK | 7782 | 23-JAN-82 | 1300 | | 20 |
| | | | | | | | |

SQL Quiz (2)

Formulate the following queries in SQL:
 Who has employee KING as direct supervisor?

Who has a salary between \$1000 and \$2000 (inclusive)? Print name and salary and order the result by names.

SQL Quiz (3)

Which employee names consist of exactly four characters?

Print name, salary, department of all employees who work in department 10 or 30 and earn less than \$1500.

Which jobs occur in which departments?

Overview

- 1. The Relational Model, Example Database
- 2. Simple SQL Queries
- 3. Historical Remarks

Relational Model: History

(1970).

It was the first data model that was theoretically defined prior

• The relational model (RM) was proposed by Edgar F. Codd

to implementation. Codd won the Turing Prize in 1981.

• First implementations (1967):

First implementations (1967):⊳ System R (IBM)

Ingres (Mike Stonebraker, UC Berkeley)
 First commercial systems: Oracle (1979), Ingres (1980?), and IBM SQL/DS (1981).

Reasons for Success (1)

• Much simpler than earlier data models.

Relations correspond to tables.

- One core concept: **finite relation** (set of tuples).
- Easily understandable, even for non-specialists:

A relation is an abstraction of a **file of records**.

- The theoretical model fits well with common implementation techniques:
- The relational model features **set-oriented operation**. In earlier models, record-to-record navigation was explicit.

Reasons for Success (2)

• Declarative query language.

resulting tuples need to respect. The RDBMS contains a **query optimizer** that derives an efficient query evaluation plan (*i.e.*, an imperative program that evaluates the query) from this declarative specification. In earlier models, programmers had to explicitly think about the use of indexes and many more details.

• The relational model has a **solid theoretical foundation**.

A SQL guery expresses the format and conditions that

It is tightly connected to first-order logic.

Standards

• First standard 1986/87 (ANSI/ISO).

market. The standard was the "smallest common denominator", containing only the features common to existing implementations.

This was late as there were already several SQL systems on the

Extension to foreign keys etc. in 1989 (SQL-89).
 This version is also called SQL-1. All commercial implementations today support SQL-89, but also features

significant extensions.Major extension: SQL-2 (SQL-92) (1992).

Standard defines three levels, "entry", "intermediate", "full."

Oracle 8.0, SQL Server 7.0 have entry level conformance.

Future

- Current standard: SQL-99.
 - SQL-99 is a preliminary version of the SQL-3 standard. Until 12/2000, the volumes 1–5 and 10 appeared (2355 pages; the SQL-2 standard, which is not completely implemented yet had 587 pages).
- Some features in the upcoming SQL-3:
- LIST, SET, ROW to structure column values
- DO features (e.g., inheritance, subtables).
- ▷ Recursive queries.